UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

MARK ONE

| 31, 2014; or | o Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities | Exchange Act of 1934 for the Quarterly Period ended | l March |
|---|--|--|----------------------------------|
| ☐ Transition Report Pursuant t | to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities | Exchange Act of 1934 for the transition period from | |
| COMMISSION FILE NUMBER | : 001-33228 | | |
| | ZION OIL & GA | | |
| | (Exact name of registrant as sp | ecified in its charter) | |
| Dela | nware | 20-0065053 | |
| ` | tion of incorporation or ization) | (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.) | |
| 6510 Abrams | s Rd., Suite 300 | | |
| | s, Texas | 75231 | |
| (Address of princip | al executive offices) | Zip Code | |
| | (Registrant's telephone number | ; including area code) | |
| Exchange Act of 1934 during reports), and (2) has been subjet Indicate by check mark wheth Interactive Data File required | er the registrant (1) has filed all reports the preceding 12 months (or for such ect to such filing requirements for the p are the registrant has submitted electro to be submitted and posted pursuant | required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the So shorter period that the registrant was required to f | ile such |
| Exchange Act of 1934 during reports), and (2) has been subjection. Indicate by check mark wheth Interactive Data File required during the preceding 12 mossiles). Yes ⊠ No □ | er the registrant (1) has filed all reports the preceding 12 months (or for such ect to such filing requirements for the parer the registrant has submitted electro to be submitted and posted pursuant onths (or for such shorter period the er the registrant is a large accelerated for | required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Son shorter period that the registrant was required to fast 90 days. Yes ⊠ No □ Inically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this | y, every chapter st such |
| Exchange Act of 1934 during reports), and (2) has been subject Indicate by check mark wheth Interactive Data File required during the preceding 12 mofiles). Yes ⊠ No □ Indicate by check mark whether reporting company. See the decreports | er the registrant (1) has filed all reports the preceding 12 months (or for such ect to such filing requirements for the parer the registrant has submitted electro to be submitted and posted pursuant onths (or for such shorter period the effinitions of "large accelerated filer," " | required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Son shorter period that the registrant was required to fast 90 days. Yes No Denically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this at the registrant was required to submit and position, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a | y, every chapter st such |
| Exchange Act of 1934 during reports), and (2) has been subje Indicate by check mark wheth Interactive Data File required during the preceding 12 mo files). Yes ☑ No □ Indicate by check mark whether reporting company. See the de 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Large accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer | the registrant (1) has filed all reports the preceding 12 months (or for such ect to such filing requirements for the parer the registrant has submitted electro to be submitted and posted pursuant onths (or for such shorter period the effinitions of "large accelerated filer," " | required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Son shorter period that the registrant was required to fast 90 days. Yes No Denically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this at the registrant was required to submit and posted in the registrant was required to submit and posted filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a faccelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" | y, every chapter st such smaller |

INDEX PAGE

PART 1 – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Page |
|---|------|
| Item 1 – Financial Statements – Unaudited | 1 |
| Balance Sheets – March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 | 1 |
| Statements of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 and the period from April 6, 2000 (inception) to March 31, 2014 | 2 |
| Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the three months ended March 31, 2014 | 3 |
| Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 and the period from April 6, 2000 (inception) to March 31, 2014 | 4 |
| Notes to Financial Statements | 6 |
| Item 2 – Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 17 |
| Item 3 – Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 23 |
| Item 4 – Controls and Procedures | 24 |
| PART II — OTHER INFORMATION | |
| Item 1 – Legal Proceedings | 24 |
| Item 1A – Risk Factors | 25 |
| Item 2 – Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 25 |
| Item 3 – Defaults upon Senior Securities | 25 |
| Item 4 – Mine Safety Disclosures | 25 |
| Item 5 – Other Information | 25 |
| Item 6 – Exhibits | 25 |
| SIGNATURES | 27 |
| Exhibit Index | |

Balance Sheets as of (Unaudited)

| | March 31 2014 US\$ thousands | December 31 2013 US\$ thousands |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| Current assets Cash and cash equivalents | 7,804 | 10,414 |
| Fixed short term bank deposits - restricted | 1,322 | 1,387 |
| Fixed short term bank deposits | 901 | 1,367 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 320 | 294 |
| Other receivables | 248 | 45 |
| Total current assets | 10,595 | 12,140 |
| | | |
| Unproved oil and gas properties, full cost method | 2,577 | 2,446 |
| | | |
| Property and equipment at cost | | |
| Net of accumulated depreciation of \$354,000 and \$332,000 | 207 | 209 |
| | | |
| Other assets Assets held for severance benefits | 165 | 144 |
| Assets field for severance beliefits | 103 | 144 |
| Total assets | 13,544 | 14,939 |
| 1 otal assets | | ======================================= |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | 327 | 138 |
| Asset retirement obligation | 311 | 483 |
| Accrued liabilities | 1,509 | 1,474 |
| Total current liabilities | 2,147 | 2,095 |
| | | |
| Provision for severance pay | 189 | 177 |
| | | |
| Total liabilities | 2,336 | 2,272 |
| Commitments and contingencies (see Note 5) | | |
| Stockholders' equity Common stock, par value \$.01; Authorized: 100,000,000: Issued and outstanding: 34,336,598 and 34,005,696 shares at March 31, 2014 and December 31, | | |
| 2013 respectively | 343 | 340 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 141,169 | 140,367 |
| Deficit accumulated in development stage | (130,304) | (128,040) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 11,208 | 12,667 |
| | | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | 13,544 | 14,939 |
| | | |

| | | | Period from April 6, 2000 | |
|--|-----------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--|
| | For the three n | nonths ended | (inception) to | |
| | Mar | | March 31 | |
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | |
| | US\$ | US\$ | US\$ | |
| | thousands | thousands | thousands | |
| General and administrative expenses | | | | |
| Legal and professional | 295 | 341 | 10,663 | |
| Salaries | 550 | 571 | 21,184 | |
| Other | 1,412 | 486 | 18,832 | |
| Impairment of unproved oil and gas properties | | 1,851 | 79,258 | |
| Loss from operations | (2,257) | (3,249) | (129,937) | |
| Other income (expense), net | | | | |
| Termination of initial public offering | - | - | (527) | |
| Other income, net | - | - | 80 | |
| Foreign exchange gain (loss) | (4) | 6 | (112) | |
| Interest income, net | (3) | 2 | 192 | |
| Loss before income taxes | (2,264) | (3,241) | (130,304) | |
| Income taxes | | | | |
| Net loss | (2,264) | (3,241) | (130,304) | |
| Net loss per share of common stock - basic and diluted (in US\$) | (0.07) | (0.10) | (8.04) | |
| Weighted-average shares outstanding – basic and diluted (in thousands) | 34,387 | 32,804 | 16,209 | |

| | Common Shares US\$ thousands | Common Stock | | Deficit accumulated in development | |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | Shares Amounts | | stage | Total |
| | | US\$ thousands | US\$ thousands | US\$ thousands | US\$ thousands |
| Balances as of December 31, 2013 | 34,006 | 340 | 140,367 | (128,040) | 12,667 |
| Funds received from sale of DSPP units and shares | 326 | 3 | 699 | - | 702 |
| Funds received from option exercises Value of options granted to employees, directors | 5 | (*) | - | - | (*) |
| and others | - | - | 103 | - | 103 |
| Net loss | | <u>-</u> | | (2,264) | (2,264) |
| Balances as of March 31, 2014 | 34,337 | 343 | 141,169 | (130,304) | 11,208 |

^{*} Represents an amount less than US\$ 1 thousand.

| | | ree months | Period from April 6, 2000 (inception) to |
|--|-----------|------------|---|
| | ended M | | March 31, |
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 |
| | US\$ | US\$ | US\$ |
| | thousands | thousands | thousands |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | | |
| Net loss | (2,264) | (3,241) | (130,304) |
| Adjustments required to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation | 22 | 20 | 360 |
| Officer, director and other fees, paid via common stock | - | - | 2,330 |
| Cost of options issued to employees, directors & others | 102 | 212 | 7,265 |
| Interest on short term bank deposits | (1) | (6) | (42) |
| Interest paid through issuance of common stock | - | - | 17 |
| Write-off of costs associated with public offering | - | - | 507 |
| Loss on disposal of equipment | - | - | 4 |
| Asset retirement obligation | (172) | - | (269) |
| Impairment of unproved oil and gas properties | - | 1,851 | 79,258 |
| Change in assets and liabilities, net: | | | |
| Decrease in inventories | - | - | 150 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | (26) | 89 | (320) |
| Change in other receivables | (203) | 598 | (248) |
| Severance pay, net | (9) | (54) | 24 |
| Accounts payable | 231 | 7 | 966 |
| Accrued liabilities | 267 | 44 | 1,935 |
| Increase in deferred officers' compensation (net) | | | 240 |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (2,053) | (480) | (38,127) |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | | |
| Investment in short term bank deposits | (835) | - | (2,181) |
| Acquisition of property and equipment | (20) | (8) | (553) |
| Investment in unproved oil and gas properties | (404) | (756) | (81,173) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (1,259) | (764) | (83,907) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | | |
| Deferred financing costs on debt conversions and modification | - | - | 89 |
| Loan proceeds – related party | - | - | 259 |
| Loan principal repayments – related party | - | - | (259) |
| Loan proceeds – other | - | - | 500 |
| Proceeds from sale of stock and exercise of warrants | 702 | - | 133,739 |
| Costs associated with the issuance of stock and warrants | | | (4,490) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 702 | | 129,838 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (2,610) | (1,244) | 7,804 |
| Cash and cash equivalents – beginning of period | 10,414 | 14,983 | - |
| 0 2 k * | | ,, 05 | |

| | For the thr ended M | | Period from April 6, 2000 (inception) to March 31, |
|--|------------------------|--------------|--|
| | 2014 US\$ | 2013 US\$ | 2014 US\$ |
| | thousands | thousands | thousands |
| Supplemental information | | | |
| Cash paid for interest | - | - | 78 |
| Cash paid for income taxes | - | - | - |
| Non-cash investing and financing activities: | | | |
| Payment of note payable through issuance of common stock | - | - | 575 |
| Payment of accounts payable through issuance of note payable | - | - | 35 |
| Financing costs paid through issuance of common stock | - | - | 25 |
| Increase in accounts payable for financing costs | - | - | 382 |
| Waived interest on debt conversions | - | - | 4 |
| Shares issued for debt conversion | - | - | 940 |
| Value of warrants granted to underwriters | - | - | 99 |
| Deferred financing costs | - | - | 85 |
| Cost of options capitalized to oil & gas properties | 1 | 28 | 665 |
| Investment in oil & gas properties | 17 | (561) | 1,020 |
| Transfer of inventory to oil and gas properties | - | - | 150 |

Note 1 - Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited financial statements of Zion Oil & Gas, Inc. (collectively, the "Company," "Zion", "we" or "our") have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") for interim financial information and with Article 8-03 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring accruals necessary for a fair statement of financial position, results of operations and cash flows, have been included. The information included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the accompanying notes included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. The year-end balance sheet data presented for comparative purposes was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by GAAP. The results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2014 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for the full year or for any other subsequent interim period.

Zion is a development stage oil and gas exploration company with a history of more than 13 years of oil & gas exploration in Israel.

As of March 31, 2014, the Company has no revenues from its oil and gas operations, so the Company's activities are considered to be those of a "Development Stage Enterprise." Consequently, the Company's financial statements must be identified as those of a development stage enterprise. In addition, the statements of operations and cash flows are required to disclose all activity since the Company's date of inception. The Company will continue to prepare its financial statements and related disclosures as those of a development stage enterprise until such time that the Company achieves a discovery and has revenues from sales of oil and/or gas.

Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Net Loss per Share Data

Basic and diluted net loss per share of common stock is presented in conformity with Financial Accounting Standards Board's Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 260-10 "Earnings Per Share." Diluted net loss per share is the same as basic net loss per share, as the inclusion of 3,406,624 and 2,378,250 common stock equivalents in the three month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, would be anti-dilutive.

B. Use of Estimates

The preparation of the accompanying financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions about future events. These estimates and the underlying assumptions affect the amounts of assets and liabilities reported, disclosures about contingent assets and liabilities, and reported amounts of revenues and expenses. Such estimates include the valuation of unproved oil and gas properties, deferred tax assets, asset retirement obligations and legal contingencies. These estimates and assumptions are based on management's best estimates and judgment. Management evaluates its estimates and assumptions on an ongoing basis using historical experience and other factors, including the current economic environment, which management believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. The Company adjusts such estimates and assumptions when facts and circumstances dictate. Illiquid credit markets, volatile equity, foreign currency, and energy markets have combined to increase the uncertainty inherent in such estimates and assumptions. As future events and their effects cannot be determined with precision, actual results could differ significantly from these estimates. Changes in those estimates resulting from continuing changes in the economic environment will be reflected in the financial statements in future periods.

C. Oil and Gas Properties and Impairment

The Company follows the full-cost method of accounting for oil and gas properties. Accordingly, all costs associated with geological and geophysical data acquisition, exploration and development of oil and gas reserves, including directly related overhead costs, are capitalized.

All capitalized costs of oil and gas properties, including the estimated future costs to develop proved reserves, are amortized on the unit-of-production method using estimates of proved reserves. Investments in unproved properties and major development projects are not amortized until proved reserves associated with the projects can be determined or until impairment occurs. If the results of an assessment indicate that the properties are impaired, the amount of the impairment is included in loss from operations before income taxes and the adjusted carrying amount of the unproved properties is amortized on the unit-of-production method.

The Company's oil and gas property represents an investment in unproved properties. These costs are excluded from the amortized cost pool until proved reserves are found or until it is determined that the costs are impaired. All costs excluded are reviewed at least quarterly to determine if impairment has or should be declared. The amount of any impairment is charged to expense since a reserve base has not been established. Impairment requiring a charge to expense may be indicated through evaluation of drilling results, relinquishing drilling rights or other information (see Note 4).

Note 3 - Stockholders' Equity

A. 2005 Stock Option Plan

During the three months ended March 31, 2014, the Company granted the following options from the 2005 Stock Option Plan, to purchase:

- i. 25,000 shares of common stock to one senior officer at an exercise price of \$0.01. The options vest in equal quarterly installments over four consecutive quarters, beginning with the quarter ended March 31, 2014 and are exercisable through March 31, 2024. The fair value of the options at the date of grant amounted to \$47,524.
- ii. 20,000 shares of common stock to one senior officer at an exercise price of \$0.01. The options vested upon grant and are exercisable through March 31, 2024. The fair value of the options at the date of grant amounted to \$38,018.
- iii. 10,000 shares of common stock to one senior officer at an exercise price of \$0.01. The options vested upon grant and are exercisable through March 31, 2024. The fair value of the options at the date of grant amounted to \$19,009.

B. Stock Options

The stock option transactions since January 1, 2014 are shown in the table below:

| | Number of shares | exercise price |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| | | US\$ |
| Outstanding, December 31, 2013 | 3,190,225 | 2.18 |
| Changes to: | | |
| Investors in DSPP (Units) (*) | 173,899 | 2.00 |
| Employees, officers and directors | 55,000 | 0.01 |
| Expired/Cancelled/Forfeited | (7,500) | 1.70 |
| Exercised | (5,000) | 0.01 |
| Outstanding, March 31, 2014 | 3,406,624 | 2.14 |
| Exercisable, March 31, 2014 | 2,300,626 | 2.24 |

(*) See Note 3E

Granted to employees

The following table sets forth information about the weighted-average fair value of options granted to employees and directors during the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 and the period from April 6, 2000 (inception) to March 31, 2014, using the Black Scholes option-pricing model and the weighted-average assumptions used for such grants:

| | For the threended Ma | | | Period from April 6, 2000 (inception) to March 31, |
|---|--------------------------|----|-------|--|
| | 2014 | | 2013 | 2014 |
| Weighted-average fair value of underlying stock at grant date | \$ 1.91 | \$ | 1.73 | \$ 1.73 - \$8.23 |
| Dividend yields | - | | - | - |
| Expected volatility | 78%-82% | , | 67% | 28.2% - 87% |
| Risk-free interest rates | 1.72%-1.73% | , | 0.37% | 0.34% - 5.15% |
| Expected lives (in years) | 5.00-5.38 | | 3.00 | 1.50 - 6.00 |
| Weighted-average grant date fair value | \$ 1.90 | \$ | 0.76 | \$ 0.76 - \$5.11 |

Granted to non-employees

The following table sets forth information about the weighted-average fair value of warrants granted to non-employees during the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 and the period from April 6, 2000 (inception) to March 31, 2014, using the Black Scholes option-pricing model and the weighted-average assumptions used for such grants:

| | | For the three months ended March 31, | | riod from April 6, 2000 ception) to Iarch 31, |
|---|------|--------------------------------------|----|---|
| | 2014 | 2014 2013 | | 2014 |
| | | | | 1.00 - |
| Weighted-average fair value of underlying stock at grant date | - | - | \$ | \$8.75 |
| Dividend yields | - | - | | - |
| | | | | 32.20% - |
| Expected volatility | - | - | | 99.80% |
| | | | | 1.60% - |
| Risk-free interest rates | - | - | | 5.50% |
| | | | | 0.56 - |
| Expected lives (in years) | - | - | | 10.00 |
| | | | | 0.68 - |
| Weighted-average grant date fair value | - | - | \$ | \$3.91 |

The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant for periods corresponding with the expected life of the options.

The expected life represents the weighted average period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The expected life of the options granted to employees and directors during the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 and the period from April 6, 2000 (inception) to March 31, 2014 is calculated based on the Simplified Method as allowed under Staff Accounting Bulletin No.110 ("SAB 110"), giving consideration to the contractual term of the options and their vesting schedules, as the Company does not have sufficient historical exercise data at this time. The expected life of the option granted to non-employees equals their contractual term. In the case of an extension of the option life, the calculation was made on the basis of the extended life.

The following table summarizes information about stock warrants and options outstanding as of March 31, 2014:

Shares underlying outstanding
warrants and options (non-vested/non-exercisable)
Shares underlying outstanding
warrants and options (all fully vested/exercisable)

| warrants | and options (not | n-vested/non-exe | rcisable) | warrant | ts and options (all | all fully vested/exercisable) | | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Range of exercise price | Number outstanding | Weighted average remaining contractual life (years) | Weighted Average Exercise price US\$ | Range of exercise price | Number Outstanding | Weighted average remaining contractual | Weighted Average exercise price US\$ | | |
| 1.70 | 30,000 | 4.73 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 107,500 | 4.73 | 1.70 | | |
| 1.70 | 92,374 | 8.73 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 277,126 | 4.73 8.73 | 1.70 | | |
| 2.00 | | 5.34 | | 0.01 | | 9.25 | 0.01 | | |
| | 964,874 | | 2.00 | | 5,000 | | | | |
| 0.01 | 18,750 | 10.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 36,250 | 10.00 | 0.01 | | |
| - | - | - | - | 2.61 | 1,016,500 | 7.68 | 2.61 | | |
| - | - | - | - | 2.61 | 256,250 | 3.68 | 2.61 | | |
| - | - | - | - | 4.55 | 15,000 | 1.84 | 4.55 | | |
| - | - | - | - | 0.01 | 25,000 | 9.75 | 0.01 | | |
| - | - | - | - | 1.86 | 25,000 | 4.68 | 1.86 | | |
| - | - | - | - | 7.15 | 12,000 | 0.75 | 7.15 | | |
| - | - | - | - | 4.45 | 25,000 | 1.82 | 4.45 | | |
| - | - | _ | - | 2.50 | 270,000 | 0.75 | 2.50 | | |
| - | - | _ | - | 0.01 | 30,000 | 5.84 | 0.01 | | |
| - | - | - | - | 2.28 | 25,000 | 5.28 | 2.28 | | |
| - | - | _ | - | 1.73 | 25,000 | 4.78 | 1.73 | | |
| - | - | - | _ | 0.01 | 100,000 | 9.62 | 0.01 | | |
| - | - | - | _ | 1.96 | 25,000 | 5.43 | 1.96 | | |
| - | - | - | _ | 1.82 | 25,000 | 3.21 | 1.82 | | |
| 0.01-2.00 | 1,105,998 | | 1.93 | 0.01-7.15 | 2,300,626 | | 2.24 | | |

D. Compensation Cost for Warrant and Option Issuances

The following table sets forth information about the compensation cost of all warrant and option issuances recognized for employees and directors:

| For the three months ended March 31 | | rom April 6, 2000 ion) to March 31 |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------|
| 2014 | 2013 | 2014 |
| US\$ | US\$ | USS |
| 89,000 | 211,000 | 7,210,000 |

The following table sets forth information about the compensation cost of all warrant and option issuances recognized for non-employees:

| For the three months ended March 31 | | (inception) to March 31 | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------|------|-------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | |
| | US\$ | US\$ | | US\$ |
| 1 | 4,000 | 29,000 | 72 | 0,000 |

As of March 31, 2014, there was \$71,000 of unrecognized compensation cost, related to non-vested stock options granted under the Company's various stock option plans. That cost is expected to be recognized during the remaining period of 2014.

E. Replacement Registration Statement S-3/A and Prospectus Supplement for the Dividend Reinvestment and Direct Stock Purchase Plan

On March 13, 2014 the Company filed an S-3/A Form that is part of a replacement registration statement that was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") using a "shelf' registration process. From time to time, the Company may offer up to an aggregate of approximately \$119,850,000 of any combination of the securities described in this prospectus, either individually or in units.

On March 31, 2014, the Company filed with the SEC the prospectus supplement dated as of March 27, 2014 and accompanying base prospectus dated March 27, 2014 (collectively, the "Prospectus") relating to the Company's DSPP. The Prospectus forms a part of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3/A (File No. 333-193336), as amended, which was declared effective by the SEC on March 27, 2014 (the "Registration Statement").

The Company is offering (a) shares (each a "Share" and collectively the "Shares") of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the "Common Stock"), and (b), through June 30, 2014, units (the "Units") of the Company's securities with each unit comprised of (i) one (1) share of Common Stock and (ii) a warrant to purchase an additional share of the Company's Common Stock at an exercise price of \$2.00 per share (each a "Warrant" and collectively the "Warrants"; together with the Shares and the Units the "Securities"). The Securities are being offered by the Company in accordance with the terms of the Plan as described in the Prospectus.

On February 21, 2014 the Company extended the expiration date for the Unit program under its DSPP to June 30, 2014.

The extension was made, in part, to allow interested investors to utilize a new and more convenient electronic enrollment procedure with the TeleCheck Internet Check Acceptance service as a payment method.

As of March 31, 2014, the Company and its registered agent, Registrar and Transfer Company ("RTC"), had collected approximately \$3,180,000 from the DSPP. As a result, the Company issued a total of 1,425,388 shares of its common stock, comprised of 964,874 units (unit comprised of (i) one share of common stock and (ii) a warrant) and 460,514 shares.

Note 4 - Unproved Oil and Gas Properties, Full Cost Method

Unproved oil and gas properties, under the full cost method, are comprised as follows:

| | | March 31, 2014 S\$ thousands | December 31, 2013 US\$ thousands |
|--|--|--|--|
| Excluded from amortization base: Inventory, and other operational related costs Capitalized salary costs Legal costs, license fees and other preparation costs Other costs | | 911 653 1,008 5 2,577 | 929 602 910 5 2,446 |
| Impairment of unproved oil and gas properties comprised as follows: | | | |
| | For the three months ended March 31, 2014 US\$ thousands | For the three months ended March 31, 2013 US\$ thousands | Period from April 6, 2000 (inception) to March 31, 2014 US\$ thousands |
| Drilling operations, completion costs and other related costs Capitalized salary costs Legal costs, license fees and other preparation costs Other costs | - - - - - | 142 1,709 1,851 | , |

Note 5 - Commitments and Contingencies

A. Litigation

From time to time, the Company may be subject to routine litigation, claims, or disputes in the ordinary course of business. The Company defends itself vigorously in all such matters. In the opinion of management, no pending or known threatened claims, actions or proceedings against the Company are expected to have a material adverse effect on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows. However, the Company cannot predict with certainty the outcome or effect of any such litigation or investigatory matters or any other pending litigation or claims. There can be no assurance as to the ultimate outcome of any such lawsuits and investigations.

B. Environmental and Onshore Licensing Regulatory Matters

The Company is engaged in oil and gas exploration and production and may become subject to certain liabilities as they relate to environmental cleanup of well sites or other environmental restoration procedures and other obligations as they relate to the drilling of oil and gas wells or the operation thereof.

In July 2013, the Environmental Ministry published: "Environmental Guidelines for the preparation of an environmental document supplementary to a license for searching – experimental drilling and land extraction tests." This document extensively details the requirements for a supplemental environmental document to an oil and gas exploration plan.

On December 3, 2013, the State of Israel's Petroleum Commissioner awarded the Company the Megiddo-Jezreel Petroleum Exploration License No. 401. Subsequently, the Company secured a US \$930,000 bank guarantee in accordance with the performance guarantee guidelines Consequently,, Zion believes it has met the requirements of the June 2012 onshore exploratory licensing guidelines and the October 2012 performance guarantee guidelines.

On February 6, 2014, the Ministry of Energy and Water Resources issued guidelines for bank guarantees and insurance requirements with respect to oil and gas rights. Under these guidelines, applicants for and existing holders of exploration rights will be required to submit certain bank guarantees and insurance policies that were not previously required.

As it relates to existing onshore license holders like Zion, the Company must obtain a base guarantee in the New Israeli Shekel equivalent of \$500,000, the earlier of:

- · The date of approval of the application for extension of the license;
- The date of submission of an application for transfer of rights;
- · The date of submission of an application for approval of drilling; or
- · 45 days after the date of publication of the guidelines (late March 2014).

The Petroleum Commissioner, under exceptional circumstances, may demand a lower or higher guarantee sum.

Also, as it relates to existing onshore license holders, prior to the start of drilling, an additional bank guarantee in the New Israeli Shekel equivalent of \$250,000 will be required.

Existing onshore license holders must have an insurance policy in place at least 30 days prior to the planned drilling date of a well. The insurance policy must include that which is usually taken out by international oil or gas exploration or production companies, in accordance with specific requirements set out in the guidelines.

On February 24, 2014, the Company received a request asking the Company to arrange for three bank guarantees totaling \$1.5 million. The Company disputes that it is obligated to obtain the three bank guarantees even if the new regulations were to go into effect. The Israel Oil & Gas Association sent several letters to the Energy Minstry and the Petroleum Commissioner requesting a halt or change in the new regulations.

On March 27, 2014, the Petroleum Commissioner's office sent a letter to the Company informing that a decision was made to delay the date those regulations go into effect by 45 days to May 15, 2014.

The Company believes that these new regulations will significantly increase the expenditures associated with obtaining new exploration rights and considerably increase the time needed to obtain all of the necessary authorizations and approvals prior to drilling.

C. Drilling Contract with AME/GYP

On September 12, 2008, the Company entered into a drilling contract with Aladdin Middle East Ltd. ("AME") pursuant to which AME shipped into Israel its 2,000 horsepower rig for use in the drilling contemplated by the Company's business plan. The rig arrived in Israel and cleared customs in April 2009 and was used to drill the Ma'anit-Rehoboth #2 well, the Elijah #3 and the Ma'anit-Joseph #3 well. Drilling operations on the Ma'anit-Joseph #3 well were concluded in July 2011, whereupon the Company released the rig.

In May, 2012, the Company and GYP agreed that the Company would pay GYP \$627,000 in full and final settlement of past bills, and such amount was paid on May 15, 2012. However, the matter related to GYP's demand for \$550,000 for rig demobilization was excluded from the settlement. The drilling contract between the Company and AME, which was allegedly assumed by GYP, provides that all disputes are to be settled by arbitration in London, United Kingdom.

On February 25, 2013, GYP advised the Company in writing of GYP's intention to seek arbitration under the drilling contract; however, GYP also indicated its desire to find an amicable solution in lieu of initiating arbitration proceedings and offered a deep discount to resolve the matter. The Company, which denies it owes anything, offered a nuisance amount to resolve the matter, which GYP respectfully denied.

On October 13, 2013, the Company received a new letter from GYP requesting payment of the \$550,000 with no reference to arbitration. By written response on October 29, 2013, the Company respectfully denied any liability on GYP's claim. On October 31, 2013, the Company received an email from GYP disagreeing with the Company's analysis and threatening arbitration if no satisfactory solution can be found. By written response on November 6, 2013, the Company, citing relevant provisions of the drilling contract, again respectfully denied any liability on GYP's claim

On March 4, 2014, the Company received from the International Court of Arbitration in Paris, France ("ICA") a request for arbitration filed by GYP. The Company continues to deny GYP's claim and intends to vigorously defend itself.

D. Bank Guarantees

As of March 31, 2014, the Company provided bank guarantees to various governmental bodies (approximately \$1,175,000) and others (approximately \$70,000) in respect of its drilling operation in an aggregate amount of approximately \$1,245,000. The funds backing these guarantees and additional amounts added to support currency fluctuations as required by the bank, are held in interest-bearing accounts and are reported on the Company's balance sheets as "restricted cash."

E. Company License Area Activities

The Company currently holds three petroleum exploration licenses, all onshore Israel, comprised of the newly granted Megiddo-Jezreel License (covering approximately 98,842 acres), the Jordan Valley License (covering approximately 55,845 acres), and the Asher-Menashe License (covering approximately 78,834 acres). Zion's total license area currently amounts to approximately 233,521 acres.

On December 3, 2013, Israel's Petroleum Commissioner awarded the Company the Megiddo-Jezreel Petroleum Exploration License No. 401. The Company has secured a US \$930,000 bank guarantee in accordance with the Performance Guarantee Guidelines. Under the license terms, the Company is to identify and submit a drilling prospect in the license area by July 1, 2015; enter into a contract for the drilling of such prospect by October 1, 2015; and begin drilling or "spud" a well to a minimum proposed total depth of approximately 4,000 meters (~13,125 feet) by December 1, 2015.

The Company has identified several key leads/prospects in the Megiddo-Jezreel and portions of the Jordan Valley License areas. Over the last several months, Zion engaged Geomage (Israel-based seismic company) and Eskaton Seismic (U.S. based seismic company) to reprocess a total of approximately 168 kilometers of existing seismic data over both the Megiddo-Jezreel and Jordan Valley License areas. Eskaton's reprocessing efforts in particular have enhanced our geologic understanding of the subsurface structures such that we believe the merger of the two licenses is a key priority for our 2015 future drilling efforts.

Regarding the Asher-Menashe License, the Company originally planned to re-enter the Elijah #3 well during October/November 2013, but we were delayed primarily due to problems that Lapidoth, our drilling contractor, experienced while drilling another well with a third party in Israel. On January 5, 2014, we initiated Elijah #3 re-entry operations and on January 30, 2014, the Lapidoth rig was released.

Although the Company had hoped to encounter producible hydrocarbons during the Elijah #3 re-entry testing that was conducted and concluded in Q1 2014, only minimal amounts of gas were encountered and we have now finalized all plugging obligations. Zion acknowledges its obligation to complete the abandonment of the Elijah #3 well site in accordance with guidance from the Environmental Ministry and local officials.

Note 6- Subsequent Events

On April 10, 2014, Zion filed an application to merge the southernmost portion of the Jordan Valley License into the Megiddo-Jezreel License at which time Zion would relinquish the remaining portion of the Jordan Valley License. We contend that there is significant exploration potential in this structurally and stratigraphically linked petroleum system that overlaps the boundaries between the present Megiddo-Jezreel and Jordan Valley Licenses. (see Note 5E).

On April 16, 2014, Zion signed a contract with GII (countersigned by GII on April 27, 2014) to acquire approximately 34 kilometers of 2D Vibroseis data this summer. We are in the final stages of hiring Geo-prospect, an Israeli geological, environmental, and planning company to assist Zion with preparatory work for the extensive environmental impact document which is a prerequisite for a drilling permit.

On May 1, 2014, prior to Zion's answer date deadline, Zion filed an Answer in which it denied GYP's claim for payment of the demob fee, and in addition it asserted a Counterclaim against GYP (Note 5C).

During the month of April 2014, the company raised a total of approximately \$770,000 through the Direct Stock Purchase Plan that increased the issued and outstanding shares to a total of 34,658,560 shares.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

THE FOLLOWING DISCUSSION SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH OUR UNAUDITED INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND THE RELATED NOTES TO THOSE STATEMENTS INCLUDED IN THIS FORM 10-Q. SOME OF OUR DISCUSSION IS FORWARD-LOOKING AND INVOLVES RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES. FOR INFORMATION REGARDING RISK FACTORS THAT COULD HAVE A MATERIAL ADVERSE EFFECT ON OUR BUSINESS, REFER TO THE DISCUSSION OF RISK FACTORS IN THE "DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS" SECTION OF OUR ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013, FILED WITH THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements made in this discussion are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements may materially differ from actual results.

Forward-looking statements can be identified by terminology such as "may", "should", "expects", "intends", "anticipates", "believes", "estimates", "predicts", or "continue" or the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology and include, without limitation, statements regarding:

- our ability to explore for and develop natural gas and oil resources successfully and economically;
- the likelihood of being granted new petroleum exploration rights by Israeli authorities;
- the availability of equipment, such as drilling rigs, oil transport trucks, and transportation pipelines;
- the impact of governmental regulations, permitting and other legal requirements in Israel relating to onshore exploratory drilling and production;
- our estimates of the timing and number of wells we expect to drill and other exploration activities and planned expenditures and the time frame within which they will be undertaken;
- changes in our drilling plans and related budgets;
- the quality of our license areas with regard to, among other things, the existence of reserves in economic quantities;
- anticipated trends in our business;
- our future results of operations;
- our liquidity and our ability to raise capital to finance our exploration and development activities;
- our capital expenditure program;
- future market conditions in the oil and gas industry; and
- the demand for oil and natural gas, both locally in Israel, regionally, and globally.

Because forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, there are important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. Although we believe that expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we do not know and therefore cannot guarantee future results, performance or achievements. Moreover, neither we nor any other person assumes responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of these forward-looking statements. We undertake no duty to update any forward-looking statements after the date of this report or to conform such statements to actual results.

Overview

Zion Oil & Gas, Inc. is an initial stage oil and gas exploration company with a history of over 13 years of oil and gas exploration in Israel. As of March 31, 2014, we have no revenues or operating income and are considered to be a "development stage" company. We are headquartered in Dallas, Texas and have a field office in Caesarea, Israel.

As of March 31, 2014, Zion currently holds three petroleum exploration licenses, all onshore Israel, comprised of the newly granted Megiddo-Jezreel License (covering approximately 98,842 acres), the Asher-Menashe License (covering approximately 78,834 acres), and the Jordan Valley License (covering approximately 55,845 acres). Zion's total license area currently amounts to approximately 233,521 acres.

As a result of ongoing evaluation of previous and newly acquired geological and geophysical data relating to our license areas, we have re-focused our exploration strategy with a primary emphasis on the Megiddo-Jezreel License and portions of the Jordan Valley License area.

To date, we have drilled four exploratory wells. While the presence of hydrocarbons was indicated while drilling certain of these wells, none of the exploratory wells that we have drilled to date have been deemed capable of producing oil or gas in commercial quantities.

Current Exploration Efforts

Megiddo-Jezreel Petroleum License

Under the terms of this new License, the Company has until July 1, 2015 to identify and submit a drilling prospect, enter into a drilling contract by October 1, 2015, and begin drilling or "spud" a well to a minimum proposed total depth of approximately 4,000 meters (~ 13,125 feet) by December 1, 2015. These and other geological and geophysical milestones should be achievable before the stated deadlines.

The Company has identified several key leads/prospects in the Megiddo-Jezreel and portions of the Jordan Valley License areas. Over the last several months, Zion engaged Geomage (Israel-based seismic company) and Eskaton Seismic (U.S. based seismic company) to reprocess a total of approximately 168 kilometers (12 lines) of existing seismic data over both the Megiddo-Jezreel and Jordan Valley License areas. Eskaton's reprocessing efforts in particular have enhanced our geologic understanding of the subsurface structures such that we believe the merger of the two licenses is a key priority for our 2015 future drilling efforts. This effort has aided us in high-grading our exploration leads to develop them into drillable prospects. However, additional pre-drilling exploratory work will still be needed before a drillable prospect can be matured and recommended in this license area.

With assistance from our Israel exploration staff, we have identified locations to acquire new seismic data in conjunction with the Geophysical Institute of Israel (GII). On April 16, 2014, Zion signed a contract with GII (countersigned by GII on April 27, 2014) to acquire approximately 34 kilometers of 2D Vibroseis data this summer.

Our Israel exploration staff also collected approximately 28 rock samples in and around the Megiddo-Jezreel License area to assess and evaluate the rock sample's oil generation potential.

Finally, we are in the final stages of evaluating proposals from various environmental firms to prepare an extensive environmental impact document which is a prerequisite for a drilling permit.

Jordan Valley License

On April 10, 2014, Zion filed an application to merge the southernmost portion of the Jordan Valley License into the Megiddo-Jezreel License at which time Zion would relinquish the remaining portion of the Jordan Valley License. We contend that there is significant exploration potential in this structurally and stratigraphically linked petroleum system that overlaps the boundaries between the present Megiddo-Jezreel and Jordan Valley Licenses.

As clearly demonstrated in the application documents, structural closure exists at four stratigraphic levels and in multiple structures. For a variety of reasons, including the results of the newly reprocessed seismic data, the Company has refocused its exploration strategy with a primary emphasis on the Megiddo-Jezreel License and the southern portions of the Jordan Valley License area.

Asher-Menashe License

Regarding the Asher-Menashe License, the Company originally planned to re-enter the Elijah #3 well during October/November 2013, but we were delayed primarily due to problems that Lapidoth, our drilling contractor, experienced while drilling another well with a third party in Israel. On January 5, 2014, we initiated Elijah #3 re-entry operations and on January 30, 2014, the Lapidoth rig was released.

Although the Company had hoped to encounter producible hydrocarbons during the Elijah #3 re-entry testing that was conducted and concluded in Q1 2014, only minimal amounts of gas were encountered, and we have now finalized all plugging obligations. Zion acknowledges its obligation to complete the abandonment of the Elijah #3 well site in accordance with guidance from the Environmental Ministry and local officials.

Onshore Licensing, Oil and Gas Exploration and Environmental Guidelines

The procedure for Israeli onshore exploratory licensing and exploration drilling has undergone considerable modification in the last few years. The Company is engaged in oil and gas exploration and production and may become subject to certain liabilities as they relate to environmental cleanup of well sites or other environmental restoration procedures and other obligations as they relate to the drilling of oil and gas wells or the operation thereof.

In July 2013, the Environmental Ministry published: "Environmental Guidelines for the preparation of an environmental document supplementary to a license for searching – experimental drilling and land extraction tests." This document extensively details the requirements for a supplemental environmental document to an oil and gas exploration plan.

On December 3, 2013, the State of Israel's Petroleum Commissioner awarded the Company the Megiddo-Jezreel Petroleum Exploration License No. 401. Subsequently, the Company secured a US \$930,000 bank guarantee in accordance with the performance guarantee guidelines. Consequently, Zion believes it has met the requirements of the June 2012 onshore exploratory licensing guidelines and the October 2012 performance guarantee guidelines.

On February 6, 2014, the Ministry of Energy and Water Resources issued guidelines for bank guarantees and insurance requirements with respect to oil and gas rights. Under these guidelines, applicants for and existing holders of exploration rights will be required to submit certain bank guarantees and insurance policies that were not previously required.

As it relates to *existing* onshore license holders like Zion, the Company must obtain a base guarantee in the New Israeli Shekel equivalent of \$500,000, the earlier of:

- The date of approval of the application for extension of the license;
- The date of submission of an application for transfer of rights;
- The date of submission of an application for approval of drilling; or
- 45 days after the date of publication of the guidelines (late March 2014).

The Petroleum Commissioner, under exceptional circumstances, may demand a lower or higher guarantee sum.

Also, as it relates to *existing* onshore license holders, prior to the start of drilling, an additional bank guarantee in the New Israeli Shekel equivalent of \$250,000 will be required.

Existing onshore license holders also must have an insurance policy in place at least 30 days prior to the planned drilling date of a well. The insurance policy must include that which is usually taken out by international oil or gas exploration or production companies, in accordance with specific requirements set out in the guidelines.

On February 24, 2014, the Company received a request asking the Company to arrange for three bank guarantees totaling \$1.5 million. The Company disputes that it is obligated to obtain the three bank guarantees even if the new regulations were to go into effect. The Israel Oil & Gas Association sent several letters to the Energy Minstry and the Petroleum Commissioner requesting a halt or change in the new regulations.

On March 27, 2014, the Petroleum Commissioner's office sent a letter to the Company informing that a decision was made to delay the date those regulations go into effect by 45 days to May 15, 2014.

The Company believes that these new regulations will significantly increase the expenditures associated with obtaining new exploration rights and considerably increase the time needed to obtain all of the necessary authorizations and approvals prior to drilling.

Capital Resources Highlights

We will need to raise significant funds to drill our next exploratory well to the desired depth. No assurance can be provided that we will be successful in raising the needed capital on terms favorable to us (or at all).

On March 13, 2014 the Company filed an S-3/A Form that is part of a replacement registration statement that was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") using a "shelf" registration process. From time to time, the Company may offer up to an aggregate of approximately \$119,850,000 of any combination of the securities described in this prospectus, either individually or in units.

On March 31, 2014, the Company filed with the SEC the prospectus supplement dated as of March 27, 2014 and accompanying base prospectus dated March 27, 2014 (collectively, the "Prospectus") relating to the Company's DSPP. The Prospectus forms a part of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3/A (File No. 333-193336), as amended, which was declared effective by the SEC on March 27, 2014 (the "Registration Statement").

The Company is offering (a) shares (each a "Share" and collectively the "Shares") of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the "Common Stock"), and (b), through June 30, 2014, units (the "Units") of the Company's securities, for \$2.50 per unit, with each unit comprised of (i) one (1) share of Common Stock and (ii) a warrant to purchase an additional share of the Company's Common Stock at an exercise price of \$2.00 per share (each a "Warrant" and collectively the "Warrants"; together with the Shares and the Units the "Securities"). The Securities are being offered by the Company in accordance with the terms of the Plan as described in the Prospectus.

On February 21, 2014 the Company extended the expiration date for the Unit program under its DSPP to June 30, 2014.

As of March 31, 2014, the Company and its registered agent, Registrar and Transfer Company ("RTC"), had collected approximately \$3,180,000 from the DSPP. As a result, the Company issued a total of 1,425,388 shares of its common stock, comprised of 964,874 units (unit comprised of (i) one share of common stock and (ii) a warrant) and 460,514 shares.

Principal Components of our Cost Structure

Our operating and other expenses primarily consist of the following:

• Impairment of Unproved Oil and Gas Properties: Impairment expense is recognized if a determination is made that a well will not be commercially productive. The impairment amounts include amounts paid with respect to drilling operations as well as geological and geophysical costs and various amounts paid to Israeli regulatory authorities.

- General and Administrative Expenses: Overhead, including payroll and benefits for our corporate staff, costs of managing our exploratory operations, audit, legal and other professional fees. General and administrative expenses also include non-cash stock-based compensation expense, investor relations related expenses, office lease, and insurance and related expenses.
- Depreciation, Depletion, Amortization and Accretion: We utilize the full-cost method of accounting and capitalize all costs associated with our exploration. We apportion these costs to different areas, as appropriate. As we have yet to achieve production, the costs of abandoned wells have been written off, as opposed to including them in an amortization pool.

Critical Accounting Policies

We have identified the accounting principles which we believe are most critical to the reported financial status. Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations is based upon our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expense during the reporting period.

Impairment of Oil and Gas Properties

We follow the full-cost method of accounting for oil and gas properties. Accordingly, all costs associated with acquisition, exploration and development of oil and gas reserves, including directly related overhead costs, are capitalized.

All capitalized costs of oil and gas properties, including the estimated future costs to develop proved reserves, are amortized on the unit-of-production method using estimates of proved reserves. Investments in unproved properties and major development projects are not amortized until proved reserves associated with the projects can be determined or until impairment occurs. If the results of an assessment indicate that the properties are impaired, the amount of the impairment is included in income from continuing operations before income taxes, and the adjusted carrying amount of the unproved properties is amortized on the unit-of-production method.

Our oil and gas property represents an investment in unproved properties. Oil and gas property in general is excluded from the amortized cost pool until proved reserves are found or until it is determined that the costs are impaired. All costs excluded are reviewed at least quarterly to determine if impairment has occurred. The amount of any impairment is charged to expense since a reserve base has not yet been established. Impairment requiring a charge to expense may be indicated through evaluation of drilling results, relinquishing drilling rights or other information.

Abandonment of properties is accounted for as adjustments to capitalized costs. The net capitalized costs are subject to a "ceiling test" which limits such costs to the aggregate of the estimated present value of future net revenues from proved reserves discounted at ten percent based on current economic and operating conditions, plus the lower of cost or fair market value of unproved properties. The recoverability of amounts capitalized for oil and gas properties is dependent upon the identification of economically recoverable reserves, together with obtaining the necessary financing to exploit such reserves and the achievement of profitable operations.

The total net book value of our unproved oil and gas properties under the full cost method is \$2,577,000 at March 31, 2014.

Asset Retirement Obligation

We record a liability for asset retirement obligation at fair value in the period in which it is incurred and a corresponding increase in the carrying amount of the related long lived assets.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net loss

| Ended March 31 | |
|----------------------|---|
| 2014 | 2013 |
| (US \$ in thousands) | |
| | |
| 295 | 341 |
| 550 | 571 |
| 1,412 | 486 |
| - | 1,851 |
| 2,257 | 3,249 |
| 7 | (8) |
| | Ende March 2014 (US \$ in tho 295 550 1,412 - 2,257 |

For the Three Months

2.264

3,241

Revenue. We have no revenue generating operations, as we are still a development stage oil and gas company.

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2014 were \$2,257,000 compared to \$3,249,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2013. The decrease in general and administrative expenses in 2014 compared to 2013 is primarily attributable to impairment charge of \$1,851,000 recorded during the three months ended March 31, 2013 representing the remaining capitalized cost of the Joseph License, offset by increase in other general and administrative expenses during for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared to the corresponding period in 2013. Legal and professional fees for the three months ended March 31, 2014 were \$295,000 compared to \$341,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2013. The decrease in legal and professional fees is primarily attributable to the decreased utilization of legal services resulting from the decrease in operational activity in 2014. Salary expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2014 were \$550,000 compared to \$571,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2013. The decrease in salary expenses in 2014 compared to 2013 is primarily attributable to a lesser non-cash expense recorded in connection with stock option grants that were awarded during 2014, 2013 and 2012. Other general and administrative expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2014 were \$1,412,000 compared to \$486,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2013. Other general and administrative expenses are comprised of non-compensation and non-professional expenses incurred. The increase in other general and administrative expenses in 2014 compared to 2013 is primarily attributable to increased operational expenses in regard to reentry and testing of the Elijah #3 well. Impairment of unproved oil and gas properties expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2014 was nil compared to \$1,851,000 for the for the three months ended March 31, 2013. The decrease in impairment of unproved oil and gas properties expenses in 2014 compared to 2013 is attributable to the impairment charge of 1,851,000 recorded during the three months ended March 31, 2013 representing the remaining capitalized cost of the Joseph License.

Other (income) expense, net. Other (income) expense, net for the three months ended March 31, 2014 was \$7,000 compared to (\$8,000) for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

Net Loss. Net loss for the three months ended March 31, 2014 was \$2,264,000 compared to \$3,241,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is a measure of a company's ability to meet potential cash requirements. We have historically met our capital requirements through the issuance of common stock (or securities convertible into common stock) as well as proceeds from the exercise of warrants and options to purchase common equity.

At March 31, 2014, we had approximately \$10,027,000 in cash and cash equivalents and fixed short term bank deposits compared to \$11,801,000 at December 31, 2013. The \$10,027,000 includes the amount of \$1,245,000 that is held in bank guarantees toward our exploration efforts in Israel.

As of March 31, 2014, the Company provided bank guarantees to various governmental bodies (approximately \$1,175,000) and others (approximately \$70,000) in respect of its drilling operation in an aggregate amount of approximately \$1,245,000. The funds backing these guarantees and additional amounts added to support currency fluctuations as required by the bank, are held in interest-bearing accounts and are reported on the company's balance sheet as "restricted cash."

During the three months ended March 31, 2014, cash used in operating activities totaled \$2,053,000. Cash provided by financing activities during the three months ended March 31, 2014, was \$702,000 and is attributable to proceeds received from the DSPP which offers investors the ability to purchase units and shares of our common stock directly from us. Net cash used in investing activities such as unproved oil and gas properties, short term bank deposits and other assets was \$1,259,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2014.

We expect to incur additional significant expenditures to further our exploration programs. We estimate that, when we are not actively drilling a well, our expenditures are approximately \$512,000 per month excluding exploratory operational activities. However, when we become engaged in active drilling operations, we estimate an additional minimum expenditure of approximately \$2,500,000 per month. The above estimates are subject to change. Management believes that our existing cash balance will be sufficient to finance our plan of operations through June 30, 2015. However, there are factors that can adversely impact our ability to fund our operating needs through such date, including (without limitation), unexpected or unforeseen cost overruns in planned non-drilling exploratory work (e.g., seismic acquisition, environmental and drilling permit application and acquisition costs, etc.) in existing and newly sought license areas and the costs associated with extended delays in undertaking the required exploratory work, which is typical of what we have experienced in the past, or plugging and abandonment activities. We are considering various alternatives with respect to raising additional capital but to date have made no specific plans or arrangements, except for the launch in March 2013 of the DSPP. We expect that when we seek to raise additional capital it will be through the sale of equity securities, debt or other financing arrangements. Due in part to our lack of any oil and natural gas reserves, there can be no assurance this capital will be available and if it is not, we may be forced to substantially curtail or cease exploration and development expenditures.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not currently use any off-balance sheet arrangements to enhance our liquidity or capital resource position, or for any other purpose.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

During the first quarter of 2014, there were no accounting pronouncements which were issued and which have relevancy to our business.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk is a broad term for the risk of economic loss due to adverse changes in the fair value of a financial instrument. These changes may be the result of various factors, including interest rates, foreign exchange rates, commodity prices and/or equity prices. In the normal course of doing business, we are exposed to the risks associated with foreign currency exchange rates and changes in interest rates.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risks. A portion of our expenses, primarily labor expenses and certain supplier contracts, are denominated in New Israeli Shekels ("NIS"). As a result, we have significant exposure to the risk of fluctuating exchange rates with the U.S. Dollar ("USD"), our primary reporting currency. Since December 2011, the NIS has experienced a revaluation of approximately 8.7% against the USD. Continued revaluation of the NIS (against the USD) should result in higher operating costs for us from NIS denominated expenses. Since December 31, 2013 and 2012 to March 31, 2014, the USD has fluctuated by approximately (0.5%) and 6.6% respectively against the NIS. Continuing devaluation of the US dollar against the NIS will result in higher operating costs from NIS denominated expenses. To date, we have not hedged any of our currency exchange rate risks, but we may do so in the future.

Interest Rate Risk. Our exposure to market risk relates to our cash and investments. We maintain an investment portfolio of short term bank deposits and money market funds. The securities in our investment portfolio are not leveraged, and are, due to their very short-term nature, subject to minimal interest rate risk. We currently do not hedge interest rate exposure. Because of the short-term maturities of our investments, we do not believe that a change in market interest rates would have a significant negative impact on the value of our investment portfolio except for reduced income in a low interest rate environment. At March 31, 2014, we had cash, cash equivalents and short-term bank deposits of approximately \$10,027,000. The weighted average annual interest rate related to our cash and cash equivalents for the three months ended March 31, 2014, was approximately 0.15%.

The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve principal while at the same time maximizing yields without significantly increasing risk. To achieve this objective, we invest our excess cash in short-term bank deposits and money market funds that may invest in high quality debt instruments.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the SEC's rules and forms. As of March 31, 2014, our chief executive officer and our chief financial officer conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on this evaluation, our chief executive officer and our chief financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2014.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2014, there were no changes made in our internal controls over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act) that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II—OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, we may be subject to routine litigation, claims, or disputes in the ordinary course of business. We defend our company vigorously in all such matters. In the opinion of management, no pending or known threatened claims, actions or proceedings against us are expected to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. However, we cannot predict with certainty the outcome or effect of any of the litigation or investigatory matters or any other pending litigation or claims. There can be no assurance as to the ultimate outcome of these lawsuits and investigations.

Dispute with AME/GYP

As previously disclosed, GYP, the owner of the rig previously used by the Company, and Aladdin Middle East ("AME"), our prior drilling contractor, advised that \$550,000 remains unpaid for rig demobilization. The drilling contract between the Company and AME, which was allegedly assumed by GYP, provides that all disputes are to be settled by arbitration in London, United Kingdom.

On February 25, 2013, GYP advised the Company in writing of GYP's intention to seek arbitration under the drilling contract; however, GYP also indicated its desire to find an amicable solution in lieu of initiating arbitration proceedings and offered a deep discount to resolve the matter. The Company, which denies it owes anything, offered a nuisance amount to resolve the matter. The Company's offer was not accepted by GYP, although periodic communications continued between it and the Company.

On October 13, 2013, the Company received a formal letter from GYP requesting payment of the \$550,000 with no reference to arbitration. By written response on October 29, 2013, the Company respectfully denied any liability on GYP's claim. On October 31, 2013, the Company received an email from GYP disagreeing with the Company's analysis and threatening arbitration if no satisfactory solution can be found. By written response on November 6, 2013, the Company, citing relevant provisions of the drilling contract, again respectfully denied any liability on GYP's claim.

On March 4, 2014, the Company received from the International Court of Arbitration in Paris, France ("ICA") a request for arbitration filed by GYP. The Company continues to deny GYP's claim and intends to vigorously defend itself.

On May 1, 2014, Zion filed an Answer in which it denied GYP's claim for payment of the demob fee, and in addition it asserted a Counterclaim against GYP (Note 5C).

ITEM RISK FACTORS 1A.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2014, there were no material changes to the risk factors previously reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

ITEM 3. <u>DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES</u>

None.

ITEM 5. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

None.

ITEM 6. OTHER INFORMATION

Appointment of Board Vacancy

Effective April 1, 2014, the Company appointed Martin M. van Brauman to the Board of Directors. Mr. van Brauman is Zion's Senior Vice-President, Corporate Secretary and Treasurer. He has previously served on the Board of Directors and also as Chief Financial Officer and Chief Legal Officer. He is Board Certified in Tax Law by the Texas Board of Legal Specialization and has been in private legal practice in Dallas specializing in international and corporate tax and business corporate law. Previously, he spent 12 years as a Senior Attorney (International Specialist and Petroleum Industry Specialist) with the Office of Chief Counsel, IRS, followed by three years as a tax consultant with Deloitte & Touche and Grant Thornton. He has published on subjects related to taxation of international oil and gas operations. Mr. van Brauman holds a B.E. degree from Vanderbilt University, a Doctor of Jurisprudence degree from St. Mary's University and an M.B.A. (Beta Gamma Sigma) and LL.M. (Tax Law) from Southern Methodist University. He has been an Adjunct Professor at Southern Methodist University, School of Law, LL.M. Tax Program and at the University of Texas at Dallas, Graduate Accounting Program.

Zion's Annual Shareholder Meeting will be held at 2:00 p.m. on Monday, June 9, 2014 at the Dan Hotel in Caesarea, Israel.

ITEM 7. EXHIBITS

Exhibit Index:

| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 under the Exchange Act |
|----------|---|
| 31.2 | Certification of the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 under the Exchange Act |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished only) |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 o4f the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished only) |
| 101.INS* | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema |
| 101.CAL* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase |
| 101.DEF* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase |
| 101.LAB* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase |
| 101.PRE* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase |

^{*} In accordance with Rule 406T of Registration S-T, these exhibits are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise not subject to liability under these sections.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ZION OIL & GAS, INC. (Registrant)

By: /s/ John Brown By: /s/ Ilan Sheena

John Brown Ilan Sheena,

Chief Executive Officer Chief Financial Officer (Principal Executive Officer) (Principal Financial Officer)

Date: May 8, 2014 Date: May 8, 2014